ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2010



Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site Beaufort County TIP No. R-2510WM



Natural Environment Unit & Roadside Environmental Unit North Carolina Department of Transportation December 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUM	MARY		1
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	2
	1.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
	1.2	PURPOSE	2
	1.3	PROJECT HISTORY	2
	1.4	DEBIT LEDGER	3
2.0	HYD	ROLOGY	5
	2.1	SUCCESS CRITERIA	5
	2.2	HYDROLOGIC DESCRIPTION	5
	2.3	RESULTS OF HYDROLOGIC MONITORING	5
		2.3.1 Site Data	5
		2.3.2 Climatic Data	5
	2.4	CONCLUSIONS	5
3.0	VEG	ETATION	7
	3.1	SUCCESS CRITERIA	7
	3.2	DESCRIPTION OF SPECIES	7
	3.3	RESULTS OF VEGETATION MONITORING	8
	3.4	CONCLUSIONS	9
4.0	OVE	RALL CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	10

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. S	Site Location Map	4
Figure 2. N	Monitoring Gauge Location Map	6
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. V	egetation Monitoring Results (Marsh Areas)	8
	APPENDICES	
APPENDIX	K A GAUGE DATA GRAPHS	
APPENDIX	K B PHOTO AND VEGETATION PLOT LOCATIONS, SITE PHOTOS	

SUMMARY

The following report summarizes the monitoring activities that have occurred in 2010 at the Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site. The Campbell Creek Phase II site was constructed to provide compensatory mitigation to offset future impacts in the Tar-Pamlico river basin. The 2010-year represents the fourth year of hydrology and vegetation monitoring following construction. The site must demonstrate hydrologic and vegetation success for a minimum of five years or until the site is deemed successful. The project site is located approximately seven miles east of Aurora in Beaufort County immediately adjacent to Tetterton Road.

The site must be monitored for five years following site construction or until success criteria are met. The success of the marsh vegetation component of the wetland site will be determined in accordance with National Marine Fisheries Service guidelines. The site is monitored with sixty vegetation plots and five surface water monitoring gauges. Data analysis includes an examination of all recorded site data as well as an assessment of local climate conditions throughout the growing season.

In April 2007, five surface water gauges were installed to monitor hydrology on the site. Four surface gauges were positioned in the restoration portion of the mitigation site. One surface gauge was installed as a reference gauge within the preservation area.

Hydrologic success criteria are based on the approved mitigation plan and require that the site demonstrate frequent periods of inundation. The surface water gauges will then be compared to the reference gauge to show that the inundation patterns are similar. The 2010-year represents the fourth year of hydrologic monitoring for the Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site. The four surface water gauges were compared to the one reference gauge. The surface water monitoring gauges showed periods of inundation similar to that of the reference gauge during the 2010 monitoring year.

For the vegetation monitoring in the marsh grass area, the target species and scale values were 63.0% and 4.3, respectively. In May 2008 NCDOT supplementally planted the site to increase the coverage of the planted species located on site. Since then, phragmites has been treated on the site in April 2008, September 2009 and September of 2010. During the 2010 monitoring evaluation, phragmites was noted in some localized areas of the site. NCDOT will continue to treat phragmites on site throughout the monitoring period.

Based on the results from the fourth year of monitoring, NCDOT will continue to monitor vegetation and hydrology at the Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site in 2011.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Description

The Campbell Creek Phase II site was constructed to provide compensatory mitigation to offset future impacts in the Tar-Pamlico river basin. The project site is located approximately seven miles east of Aurora in Beaufort County. This report details the monitoring activities at the northern property (approximately 11 acres), located immediately adjacent to Tetterton Road.

1.2 Purpose

In order to demonstrate successful mitigation, hydrologic and vegetation monitoring must be conducted for a minimum of five years or until the site is deemed successful. Vegetation success criteria are based on the National Marine Fisheries Service guidelines. Hydrologic success criteria are based on the approved mitigation plan and require that the site demonstrate frequent periods of inundation. The surface water gauges will then be compared to the reference gauge to show that the inundation patterns are similar. Included in this report are analyses of hydrologic and vegetation-monitoring results, discussions of local climate conditions throughout the growing season and site photographs.

1.3 Project History

March 2007	Phase II Site Constructed
April 2007	Phragmites Treated – Phase II
May 2007	Phase II Site Planted
July 2007	Marsh Vegetation Monitoring (Year 1) - Phase II Site
April-December 2007	Hydrologic Monitoring (Year 1) - Phase II Site
April 2008	Phragmites Treated
May 2008	Site Supplementally Planted
August 2008	Vegetation Monitoring (Year 2) - Phase II Site
April-December 2008	Hydrologic Monitoring (Year 2) - Phase II Site
August 2009	Vegetation Monitoring (Year 3) - Phase II Site
September 2009	Phragmites Treated
April-December 2009	Hydrologic Monitoring (Year 3) - Phase II Site
August 2010	Vegetation Monitoring (Year 4) - Phase II Site
September 2010	Phragmites Treated
April-December 2010	Hydrologic Monitoring (Year 4) - Phase II Site

1.4 Debit Ledger

The Campbell Creek Phase II site is composed entirely of upfront mitigation. If the site is determined to be successful by the Regulatory Agencies, then the mitigation, with approval by the agencies, may be used on future projects in the Tar-Pamlico river basin.




Figure 1. Site Location Map

2.0 HYDROLOGY

2.1 Success Criteria

The hydrologic success criteria established for the Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site, as stipulated in the approved mitigation plan and subsequent revisions, require that the site demonstrate frequent periods of inundation. The surface water gauges will then be compared to the reference gauges to show that the inundation patterns are similar. Groundwater monitoring is not required at this site since it is a wind driven tidal system.

2.2 Hydrologic Description

Wind-driven tides are the primary hydrologic input at the Campbell Creek Phase II Site. Four surface water monitoring gauges were installed within the Phase II site restoration area (SG-6, SG-7, SG-8, SG-9; see Figure 2) in April 2007. There is also one reference gauge (REF-10) located directly adjacent to the constructed site, within the preservation area. The surface gauges record surface water levels every three hours on a daily basis. Monitoring data for 2010 represents the fourth year of hydrologic monitoring for the Phase II site.

2.3 Results of Hydrologic Monitoring

2.3.1 Site Data

Appendix A contains plots of the data at each surface gauge location. The set of plots shows the surface water elevation recorded against the actual gauge elevation surveyed relative to mean sea level. All four of the surface gauges as well as the reference gauges show that the site is demonstrating frequent periods of inundation.

2.3.2 Climatic Data

Precipitation is not the primary hydrologic input for this site and was not included in this report. It is expected that the site would show the required periods of inundation regardless of area rainfall totals.

2.4 Conclusions

The 2010-year represents the fourth year of hydrologic monitoring for the Campbell Creek Phase II Side mitigation site. The four surface water gauges were compared to the one reference gauge. The four surface water monitoring gauges showed periods of inundation similar to that of the reference gauge during the 2010 monitoring year.

NCDOT will continue to monitor the Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site for hydrology in 2011.




Figure 2. Monitoring Gauge Location Map (Phase II Site)

3.0 VEGETATION: CAMPBELL CREEK PHASE II SITE (YEAR 4 MONITORING)

3.1 Success Criteria

The vegetative marsh success of the wetland site will be determined in accordance with NMFS Guidelines. Monitoring plots found to be located within the open water channel will not be evaluated, and will not count toward the final count of plots. The vegetation component of the wetland site will be deemed successful if the following criteria are met:

- 1. At year five, the average of all plots should have a scale value of 5 (75% vegetative cover) consisting of wetland herbaceous species, not including any invasive species.
- 2. A minimum of 70% of the plots shall contain the target (planted) species.

3.2 Description of Species

The following marsh grass species were planted in the Wetland Creation Area:

Cladium jamaicense, Sawgrass

3.3 Results of Vegetation Monitoring

 Table 1. Vegetative Monitoring Results

	1			
Plot#	Scale Factor	Cladium jamai cense	Frequency	Comments
1	5.0			Marsh-elder
2	5.0			Stinkweed
3	5.0			Marsh-elder, Aster sp.
4	5.0			2% Phragmites, Saltgrass
5	5.0			Marsh-elder, Aster sp.
6	5.0			Marsh-elder, 1% Phragmites
7	5.0			Marsh-elder
8	5.0			Marsh-elder, Aster sp., Saltgrass
9	5.0			Juncus sp., Aster sp., Marsh-elder
10	5.0			Water Grass, Stinkweed
11	3.0			Bearded sprangletop
12	5.0			Marsh-elder, Stinkweed
13	5.0			Cattails, Stinkweed
14	5.0			Marsh-elder
15	5.0			Marsh-elder, Stinkweed, Aster sp., 3% Phragmites
16	5.0			Marsh-elder
17	5.0			
18	0.0			Bare Ground
19	5.0			Marsh-elder, stinkweed
20	5.0			Juncus sp., Scirpus robustus
21	4.0			Scirpus robustus, Aster sp.
22	5.0			Stinkweed, Aster sp.
23	5.0			Stinkweed, Aster sp., Cattails
24	5.0			Aster sp., 1% Phragmites
25	3.0			Marsh-elder, Aster sp.
26	4.0			
27	5.0			Saltgrass
28	5.0			Juncus sp., Marsh-elder, 1% Phragmites
29	5.0			Marsh-elder, Aster sp., 20% Phragmites
30	0.0			Bare Ground
31	5.0			Stinkweed, Juncus sp., Water Grass
32	2.0			
33	4.0			Cattails
34	5.0			Stinkweed, Astersp., Cattails
35	4.0			Aster sp., Bearded sprangletop, 20% Phragmites
36	3.0			Scirpus robustus , Juncus sp.
37	5.0			Stinkweed

Plot #	Scale Factor	♥ Cladium jamaicen se	Frequency	Comments
38	5.0		>	Stinkweed, Aster sp., Cattails
39 40	5.0 4.0	>	>	Scirpus robustus
	5.0	>	>	Stinkweed, Aster sp., Water Grass
41				Stinkweed, Aster sp., Water Grass
42	3.0	>	>	Scirpus robustus, Astersp.
43	5.0			Marsh-elder, 1% Phragmites, <i>Aster</i> sp.
44	5.0	>	>	Stinkweed
45	5.0	>	>	Marsh-elder, Astersp.
46	5.0			Scirpus robustus, Juncus sp.
47 48	5.0 5.0			Marsh-elder, Astersp. Stinkweed
				Marsh-elder, Astersp. Stinkweed
49	4.0	>	>	Scirpus robustus, Stinkweed
50	5.0	>	>	Marsh-elder
51	5.0			Marsh-elder, <i>Juncus</i> sp.
52	2.0			Scirpus robustus
53	4.0			Marsh-elder, 1% Phragmites, Astersp.
54	3.0	>	>	Mayala alday Astayan Chinkyyaad
55	5.0	>	>	Marsh-elder, Astersp. Stinkweed
56	4.0	<i>></i>	>	Scirpus robustus
57	4.0			Stinkweed, <i>Asters</i> p., Bearded sprangletop, Water Grass
58	4.0	>	>	Stinkweed, Astersp.
59	5.0	>	>	
60 Frequency (Percentage of Plot	0.0			Bare Ground
with Desired Species)	5		63.0%	
Sum Scale Value			259	
Total Number of Plots			60	
Vegetative Cover (Scale Value		4.3		

Site Notes: The number of plots the species were found in is listed in parentheses (i.e. 5 of the plots contain cattail) cattail (5), phragmites (9), *Aster* sp. (24), marsh-elder (22), *Scirpus* sp. (9), stinkweed (21), Juncus sp. (7), water grass (4), bearded sprangletop (3), and saltgrass (3).

3.4 Conclusions

Percent Frequency of Target Species 63.0 % Frequency of 70% required.

Vegetative Cover Scale Value 4.3

Scale Value of 5 required for year 5.

The Campbell Creek (Phase II – North Side) sawgrass planting took place during May 2007. Prior to the sawgrass planting, phragmites was treated in April 2007. Since then, phragmites has been treated on the site in April 2008, October 2008, September 2009 and September 2010. During the monitoring evaluation, phragmites was noted in some

localized areas of the site. NCDOT will continue to treat phragmites on site throughout the monitoring period. The supplemental plantings that have taken place in May of 2008 and 2009 were done to increase plant survival and coverage within the bare areas of the site and the areas where the phragmites is continually being treated.

NCDOT proposes to continue vegetation monitoring at the Campbell Creek (Phase II – North Side) Mitigation Site in 2011.

4.0 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The 2010-year represents the fourth year of hydrologic monitoring for the Campbell Creek Phase II Site. The four surfaced water gauges were compared to the one reference gauge. The surface water monitoring gauges showed periods of inundation similar to that of the reference gauge during the 2010 monitoring year.

For the vegetation monitoring in the marsh grass area, the target species and scale values were 63.0% and 4.3, respectively. The planted vegetation is surviving and continuing to spread throughout the site. NCDOT will continue to treat phragmites on the site throughout the monitoring period.

NCDOT proposes to continue hydrologic and vegetation monitoring at the Campbell Creek Phase II Mitigation Site in 2011.

APPENDIX A GAUGE DATA GRAPHS

APPENDIX B

PHOTO AND VEGETATION PLOT LOCATIONS, SITE PHOTOS

Campbell Creek Phase II



Photo 1



Photo 3



Photo 5



Photo 2




Photo 4



Photo 6

August 2010



Campbell Creek (Phase II - North Side) 2010 Marsh Grass Random Plots

